In the welcome session Emily describes team working methods. Beneath I list what I heard is present during their workflow, what I find agile and what I don’t.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Conforms  to agile | Doesn’t conform  to agile |
| Methodology |  |  |
| Scrum | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Stakeholders |  |  |
| Client | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Product Owner | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Scrum Master | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Team of Developers | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Phases |  |  |
| Listing features | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Estimates |  | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6TTBVBBJ\wrong-295503_640[1].png** |
| Backlog | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Coding | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Testing | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Releasing | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Workflow |  |  |
| Sprints max 30 days long |  | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6TTBVBBJ\wrong-295503_640[1].png** |
| User Stories | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Pair programming | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Standup meetings | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Weekly meetings - long |  | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6TTBVBBJ\wrong-295503_640[1].png** |
| Gitlab | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |
| Trello | **C:\Users\Krzysztof\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\IE\6LO49ELO\check-145512_960_720[1].png** |  |

**Summary**

The on boarding meeting was short and generally clear to me. But it didn’t include any dialog. That’s what Emily should change definitely ☺.

I found that project is based on SCRUM methodology and uses its terms.

To fully conform to SCRUM methodology I’m lacking

* the sprint specification
* more clear distinction between terms: backlog and stories.
* features estimates
* weekly meetings

Also setting priorities of features to development in backlog by product owner doesn’t include team or scrum master advise as well as any estimates about duration of development and recourses required. It’s a small team and maybe it would be useful to make short discussion about it. It would also allow to predict and set the sprint time.

Maybe weekly meeting could be arranged in more funny and friendly way and angage all participants to avoid boredom.

As I have no experience with agile management I haven’t got any more suggestions.